## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pre	Preface				
Acl	knowledg	gements	IX		
Ab	Abbreviations				
Cha	apter I –	Introduction	1		
Cha	apter II –	- Effectuating Effectiveness in European Community			
Lav	V		7		
1.	The 'Tr	ransformation of Europe' and a Prior Transformation in			
	Public 1	International Law	9		
2.	Nationa	al Courts and 'National Procedural Autonomy'	14		
	2.1	A strategy of minimum interference	24		
	2.2	A growing concern over effectiveness	26		
	2.2.1	Setting aside national 'remedies'	27		
	2.2.2	Creating new remedies	28		
	2.2.3	Creating new remedies and spelling out minimum			
		conditions for their exercise	31		
	2.3	A more balanced approach	33		
	2.4	Critique and conclusion	34		
3.	State Liability for Judicial Wrongs – Basic Notions		39		
	3.1	Extension to judicial acts – the problem	39		
	3.2	Non-compliant courts	41		
	3.3	Primary and secondary proceedings	43		
Cha	apter III	- Three Hypotheses on the Necessity of State Liability			
for	Judicial A	Acts in the Community Legal Order	45		
1.	International Law Hypothesis I: State Liability As Refined				
	'State Responsibility' Tailored to the Individualising Nature of				
	the Cor	mmunity Legal Order	49		
	1.1	Community law refers to public international law	50		

XII TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1.2	Attribution of courts to the State in public		
		international law	55	
	1.2.1	From the early days to the twentieth century	55	
	1.2.2	Contemporary practice	57	
	1.2.2.1	Separation of attribution from substantive issues	57	
	1.2.2.2	The ILC's Draft Articles on State Responsibility	58	
	1.2.2.3	Practice of international courts concerning the		
		attribution of national courts to the State	61	
	1.2.2.4	Scholarly comment	63	
	1.2.3	Conclusion	64	
	1.3	Transferability to Community law?	65	
	1.4	Conclusion	70	
2.	Internati	ional Law-Hypothesis II: The Imperatives of the		
		on of Fundamental Rights Are Such As to Require		
		ability for Judicial Acts	71	
	2.1	Procedural guarantees – Articles 6 and 13 of the		
		ECHR	71	
	2.2	Substantive guarantees – Article 1 of Protocol No. 1	75	
	2.3	Conclusion	78	
3.	Compar	ative Law-Hypothesis: State Liability for Judicial Acts		
	Is a General Principle Common to the Legal Traditions of the			
	Member	States	79	
	3.1	Alleging a general principle	80	
	3.2	Conclusion	84	
4.	Conclus	ion	86	
Cha	apter IV -	- Reservations for Community Law	89	
1.	Grounds	s Causing Hesitation	91	
	1.1	Judicial independence	91	
	1.2	The doctrine of res judicata	95	
	1.3	Impartiality of the judiciary	107	
	1.4	Endangering the spirit of co-operation between the		
		ECJ and national courts?	108	
	1.5	Litis finiri opportet or endless litigation within		
		'reasonable time' (Article 6 of the ECHR)?	112	
	1.6	The parallelism between State liability and the		
		community's extra-contractual liability regime	114	
	1.7	Undesirable power gain for the ECJ?	118	
2.	Conclus	ion	120	

TABLE OF CONTENTS XIII

	•	Which Standard of Liability for Judicial Acts?	123	
1.	The Additional Standard of 'Manifest Infringement' of			
2.	Community Law Criteria to Be Taken into Account by National Courts			
۷.	2.1 Breach of the duty to refer pursuant to Article 234(3)			
	2.1	of the EC Treaty	132	
	2.2	Conclusion	135	
3.		olication of the Standard of Manifest Infringement in	133	
<i>J</i> .	Köbler			
4.	Need to Delimit the Judiciary from the Other State Functions			
	4.1	What is a court for the purposes of State liability?	142 144	
	4.1.1	Do only courts of last instance trigger State liability?	144	
	4.1.2	What is a court of last instance for the purposes of		
		State liability?	146	
	4.2	Conclusion	150	
5.	Conclus	ion	150	
		<ul> <li>Necessary Institutional Arrangements in the Member litate State Liability for Judicial Acts – A Case Study on</li> </ul>		
Aus		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	153	
1.	Commu	nity Law Background	155	
2.	State Lia	ability for Judicial Acts under Austrian law	161	
	2.1	State liability pursuant to the law on official liability		
		(Amtshaftungsgesetz – AHG)	161	
	2.2	State liability pursuant to Article 137 of the Austrian		
		Federal Constitutional Law	170	
	2.3	Concluding assessment	171	
Cha	nter VII	– Alternative Remedies	177	
1.		& Heitz and an Approach of 'Try Again'?	179	
2.	The Action for Infringement			
	2.1	Deficiencies	182	
	2.2	Commission v. Italy	183	
	2.3	Relationship between Köbler liability and the action		
		for infringement	188	
3.	The Res	iduary Role of the ECrtHR	189	
4.	Conclusion		191	

XIV	TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter VIII – Epilogue	195
Bibliography	207
<b>Table of Cases</b>	217